

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,662	10/12/2000	Donald F. Gordon	SEDN/247CIP4	7766
56015 PATTERSON	56015 7590 12/11/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP/		EXAMINER	
SEDNA PATENT SERVICES, LLC			BROWN, RUEBEN M	
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHREWSBUR	SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702		2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Ampliantian Na	Applicant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/687,662	GORDON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Reuben M. Brown	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 17 iiii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become AB ANDONE	J. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ap	<u>oril 2007</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer, Note the attached Office	Action of form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
200 the attached detailed whice delich for a fiel of the continua copies not received.					
		•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	te				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 4/25/2007 have been fully considered but are moot in light of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6-13 & 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman, (U.S. Pat # 5,844,620), in view of Ellis, (U.S. Pat # 7,065,709).

Considering claim 1, the amended claimed method for providing an interactive program guide to a terminal comprising:

Art Unit: 2623

'broadcasting less than the total number of available interactive IPG pages, each IPG page being transmitted as an encoded video stream having respective packet identifier (PID)', reads on including program listings associated with at least one time slot to the terminal', reads on the disclosure in Coleman that IPG pages may be transmitted to viewers as demand data, such that each IPG page(s) has its own PID for its packet stream, see col. 4, lines 40-50; col. 18, lines 10-40).

Regarding the claimed, 'receiving a request message from the terminal for at least one IPG page, which includes program listings associated with at least one different time slot from the broadcasted IPG pages', Coleman teaches a user interaction with an IPG that transmits a page to the user. However, Coleman does not explicitly teach that a request is received 'from the terminal', as recited. Nevertheless Ellis, which is in the same field of endeavor teaches that IPG pages may be transmitted to a subscriber upon request from the subscriber, col. 6, lines 4-67; col. 11, lines 10-21 in the event that a requested page is not already loaded at the receiver.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Coleman with the teachings of Ellis, for the desirable advantage of more enhanced program guide services, see col. 1, lines 35-45; col. 5, lines 1-28.

'encoding the requested IPG page and assigning the encoded IPG page a particular PID', reads on the disclosure in Coleman that the EPG may be transmitted in MPEG format and is associated with a PID, (col. 18, lines 10-45). As for the additional feature of, 'encoding only a

Art Unit: 2623

guide portion of the requested IPG page using a temporal slice persistence encoding scheme, the requested IPG page including a guide portion and a background portion', Coleman teaches separating the transmission of IPG pages according to title & description, and the schedule information, but does not explicitly discuss the breakdown as 'guide portion' and 'background portion'. Nevertheless Ellis, which is in the same filed of endeavor teaches that "program guide data", which corresponds with the claimed 'guide portion', may be stored at the server and transmitted to the user, upon request see col. 6, lines 4-10.

'demand-casting the encoded guide portion a limited number of times in response to receiving the request', reads on the disclosure of Ellis, col. 1145, lines 12-16240-45

Considering claim 2, see Ellis, col. 11, lines 1-25.

Considering claim 6, the claimed feature reads on sending an encoded IPG page each time a subscriber makes such a requests, and is met by the combination of Coleman & Ellis.

Considering claims 7-8, 11-12 & 16-18, see Coleman (col. 4, lines 41-55; col. 7, lines 40-65; col. 11; col. 18, lines 25-48) & Ellis (col. 5, lines 1-31; col. 7, lines 1-31).

Considering claims 9-10, in-band and out-of-band transmission, see Ellis, col. 5, lines 31-55.

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 13 & 19, the claimed elements of a method for regenerating an IPG correspond with subject matter mentioned above in the rejection of claim 1, and is likewise analyzed.

Regarding claim 19, the claimed 'video encoder, transport multiplexor and modulator', reads on the transmission equipment in Coleman, and the program guide server 25, Fig. 2a, of Ellis.

Considering claim 20, the claimed session manager operative to receive a request message for the requested IPG page and direct the transport multiplexor to multiplex the requested guide stream into the transport stream, reads on the operation of the server 25 in Ellis, col. 6, lines 1-67.

4. Claims 3-5, 14-15 & 21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coleman & Ellis in view of Rocher, (U.S. Pat # 3,754,211).

Considering claim 3-5 & 14-15 & 21, Coleman does not teach transmitting an ACK signal when a page is received. However, Rocher teaches transmitting an ACK signal, for the receiver to acknowledge receipt of a data transmission. After the transmitter receives the ACK signal, the next data block is transmitted, col. 4, lines 35-45. If the ACK signal is not received within a certain time window, then the transmitter assumed there has been an error, and resends the data, col. 12, lines 2-10. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2623

time the invention was made, to modify Coleman with known technology of halting transmission of data once an ACK signal has been received from a receiver and resending the data at least

once, if the ACK signal is not received, for the desirable advantage of insuring reception of a

transmitted block of data, as taught by Rocher.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization
where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After
Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/687,662 Page 7

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown

REJEEN W. BROWN PATENT EXAMINED